



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,657	01/08/2002	Timothy E. Bennett	088305-0141	8992
7590 10/20/2005			EXAMINER	
William T. Ellis			WASEL, MOHAMED A	
Foley & Lardne	er			,
Washington Harbour			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500			2154	
Washington, DC 20007-5143			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/038,657	BENNETT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mohamed Wasel	2154					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
• •	/ IS SET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH/	S) OB THIRTY (30) DAVS					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versility is a specified above, the maximum statutory period versility is reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timution and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ja	nuary 2002.						
/=	· ···						
·— ···	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
,							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		(4) (5)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of. 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)	A)	(DTO 412)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/8/2002</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to application filed on January 8, 2002. Claims 1-15 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carter (US Patent No. 5,878,419).

2. As per claim 1, Carter teaches a computer implemented method of automatically generating Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) documents or messages using an EDI system, comprising:

extracting segments, transaction sets, functional groups, and attributes from an EDI document, as extracted data (col. 2 lines 62-67, col.3 lines 18-29, col. 6 lines 40-60, col. 12 lines 60-67); and

storing the extracted data in a memory in a hierarchical manner according to whether the extracted data is segment data, transaction set data, functional group data, or attribute data (col.3 lines 18-67, col. 4 lines 21-34, col. 4 lines 1-34, col.5 lines 3-13).

Art Unit: 2154

3. As per claim 2, Carter teaches the method further comprising:

extracting at least one segment from the EDI document from the memory based on a hierarchical relationship between the segment and other data of the EDI document stored in the memory (col.2 lines 7-18, col. 6 lines 40-52, col. 8 lines 55-60).

4. As per claim 3, Carter teaches the method further comprising:

extracting at least one transaction set from the EDI document from the memory based on a hierarchical relationship between the segment and other data of the EDI document stored in the memory (col.1 lines 33-41, col. 2 lines 7-18, col. 8 lines 35-60).

5. As per claim 4, Carter teaches the method further comprising:

extracting at least one functional group from the EDI document from the memory based on a hierarchical relationship between the segment and other data of the EDI document stored in the memory (col.2 lines 7-18, col.6 lines 53-60, col. 8 lines 35-60).

6. As per claim 5, Carter teaches the method further comprising:

extracting at least one functional group from the EDI document from the memory based on a hierarchical relationship between the segment and other data of the EDI document stored in the memory (col.2 lines 7-18, col.6 lines 53-60, col. 8 lines 35-60); and

Application/Control Number: 10/038,657

Art Unit: 2154

extracting at least one transaction set from the EDI document that is a part of the at least one functional group, based on a linkage in the memory of the at least one transaction set to the at least one functional group (col.6 lines 53-67, col. 7 lines 1-6).

Page 4

7. As per claim 6, Carter teaches a system for automatically generating data in a self describing markup language (col. 17 lines 29-40) format from received EDI data, comprising:

a data extractor that is configured to extract segments, transaction sets, functional groups, and attributes from an EDI document, as extracted data (col. 2 lines 62-67, col.3 lines 18-29, col. 6 lines 40-60, col. 12 lines 60-67); and

a memory that is configured to store the extracted data in a hierarchical manner, the extracted data being stored in the memory according to whether the extracted data is segment data, transaction set data, functional group data, or attribute data (col.3 lines 18-67, col. 4 lines 21-34, col. 4 lines 1-34, col.5 lines 3-13).

8. As per claim 7, Carter teaches the system further comprising:

a second data extractor that extracts at least one segment from the EDI document from the memory based on a hierarchical relationship between the segment and other data of the EDI document stored in the memory (col.2 lines 7-18, col. 6 lines 40-52, col. 8 lines 55-60).

Art Unit: 2154

9. As per claim 8, Carter teaches the system further comprising:

a second data extractor that extracts at least one transaction set from the EDI document from the memory based on a hierarchical relationship between the segment and other data of the EDI document stored in the memory (col.1 lines 33-41, col. 2 lines 7-18, col. 8 lines 35-60).

10. As per claim 9, Carter teaches a system further comprising:

a second data extractor that extracts at least one functional group from the EDI document from the memory based on a hierarchical relationship between the segment and other data of the EDI document stored in the memory (col.2 lines 7-18, col.6 lines 53-60, col. 8 lines 35-60).

11. As per claim 10, Carter teaches the system further comprising:

a second data extractor that extracts at least one functional group from the EDI document from the memory based on a hierarchical relationship between the segment and other data of the EDI document stored in the memory (col.2 lines 7-18, col.6 lines 53-60, col. 8 lines 35-60); and

a third data extractor that extracts at least one transaction set from the EDI document that is a part of the at least one functional group, based on a linkage in the memory of the at least one transaction set to the at least one functional group (col.6 lines 53-67, col. 7 lines 1-6).

Application/Control Number: 10/038,657

Page 6

Art Unit: 2154

12. As per claim 11, Carter teaches a computer readable data storage medium for an EDI system having program code recorded thereon that is executable by a computer to perform the following steps:

extracting segments, transaction sets, functional groups, and attributes from an EDI document, as extracted data (col. 2 lines 62-67, col.3 lines 18-29, col. 6 lines 40-60, col. 12 lines 60-67); and

storing the extracted data in a memory in a hierarchical manner according to whether the extracted data is segment data, transaction set data, functional group data, or attribute data (col.3 lines 18-67, col. 4 lines 21-34, col. 4 lines 1-34, col.5 lines 3-13).

13. As per claim 12, Carter teaches the computer readable data storage medium having program code recorded thereon according to claim 11, further comprising:

extracting at least one segment from the EDI document from the memory based on a hierarchical relationship between the segment and other data of the EDI document stored in the memory (col.2 lines 7-18, col. 6 lines 40-52, col. 8 lines 55-60).

14. As per claim 13, Carter teaches the computer readable data storage medium having program code recorded thereon further comprising:

extracting at least one transaction set from the EDT document from the memory based on a hierarchical relationship between the segment and other data of the EDT document stored in the memory (col.1 lines 33-41, col. 2 lines 7-18, col. 8 lines 35-60).

Art Unit: 2154

15. As per claim 14, Carter teaches the computer readable data storage medium having program code recorded thereon comprising:

extracting at least one functional group from the ED1 document from the memory based on a hierarchical relationship between the segment and other data of the EDT document stored in the memory (col.2 lines 7-18, col.6 lines 53-60, col. 8 lines 35-60).

16. As per claim 15, Carter teaches the computer readable data storage medium having program code recorded thereon further comprising:

extracting at least one functional group from the EDT document from the memory based on a hierarchical relationship between the segment and other data of the EDT document stored in the memory (col.2 lines 7-18, col.6 lines 53-60, col. 8 lines 35-60); and

extracting at least one transaction set from the EDT document that is a part of the at least one functional group, based on a linkage in the memory of the at least one transaction set to the at least one functional group (col.6 lines 53-67, col. 7 lines 1-6).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Pasetes, Jr. et al (US Patent No. 5,202,977)
- b. Stephen Carter (US Patent No. 5,878,419)
- c. Sharma et al (US Patent No. 6,175,837)
- d. Ng et al (US Patent No. 6,374,256)

Art Unit: 2154

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohamed Wasel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2669. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:00 am - 4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MW September 27, 2005